



ITR/5881/HTC.1 March 2023

Experience and expertise working together



## **Document Control Sheet**

Client: Knowle Lane Neighbourhood Group Coldharbour Farmhouse Knowle Lane Cranleigh Surrey GU6 8JN

Report Issue No.	Status	Date	Author	Authorised
23/5881/HTC.1	Draft	21 <sup>st</sup> March 2023	DE	lan Roberts
23/5881/HTTC.2	Final	30 <sup>th</sup> March 2023	DE	lan Roberts

COPYRIGHT© Bellamy Roberts.

The material presented in this report is confidential. This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Knowle Lane Neighbourhood Group within the terms of the contract and shall not be distributed or made available to any other company or person without the knowledge and written consent of Bellamy Roberts. Any such party relies on the report at their own risk.



# CONTENTS

# SECTIONS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSAL & SUBMITTED TRANSPORT ASSESSMENT	2
3	SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS	8

## **APPENDICES**

Appendix 1	85 <sup>th</sup> %ile Speeds Table	
------------	------------------------------------	--

- Appendix 2 Plan & Photograph (5881/001)
- Appendix 3 Visibility Splays (5881/006 & 007)
- Appendix 4 PICADY Analysis



### 1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Bellamy Roberts, Highways and Infrastructure Consultants has been commissioned by Genesis Town Planning on behalf of Knowle Lane Neighbourhood Group to advise and comment on the outline planning application (WA/2023/00294) submitted recently on a site for up to 162 dwellings to the east of Knowle Lane, Cranleigh.
- **1.2** The site and surrounding area have been visited and application documents reviewed in order to prepare this report. This report considers the highway and transportation matters arising from the proposal.
- **1.3** Within the submitted documents a Transport Assessment and Travel Plan were provided, prepared by Motion (Highway Consultants) on behalf of the applicant.
- **1.4** This report will make various references within the Motion Transport Assessment and deals with the technical matters therein.
- 1.5 This report has been prepared having regard to advice within the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) the Department of Transport's Manual for Streets Volume 1 and 2 (MfS), and survey data identified within the Motion report and referenced where required within this report.
- **1.6** The following section investigates the findings within the Motion Transport Assessment and highlights the various concerns that warrant the proposal to be refused planning permission on highway grounds.



# 2 CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSAL & SUBMITTED TRANSPORT ASSESSMENT

- 2.1 As stated previously a Transport Assessment in support of the scheme has been prepared by Motion. This section refers to various errors and technical issues which would require the Local Planning Authority to recommend to refuse the proposal as submitted.
- 2.2 There are a number of fundamental issues arising that result in the proposal being unacceptable in highway terms and contrary to the NPPF.
- 2.3 The issues identified and that render the proposal unacceptable are:-
  - Highway Safety; and
  - Resultant impact on the local highway network

### Safety

- 2.4 As recognised within the NPPF (paragraph 110) in assessing sites for development plans or specific application (as in this instance) it should be ensured that:-
  - Safe and suitable access to the site can be achieved for all users.
- 2.5 Paragraph 111 of the NPPF also states:-
  - Development should only be prevented or refused on highways grounds if there would be an unacceptable impact on highway safety, or the residual cumulative impacts on the road network would be severe.
- 2.6 The proposal seeks a new vehicular access off Knowle Lane, its location together with visibility splays are illustrated within the Motion TA at Appendix F (2010010-04 Rev D and 2010010-09).
- 2.7 These plans depict the access layout and visibility (y-dimensions) at the access together with the Forward Stopping Sight Distance along Knowle Lane for a vehicle waiting to turn right into the proposed site.



### Visibility Splay (y-dimension)

- **2.8** The y-dimension is calculated from the 85<sup>th</sup>%ile speed of traffic travelling in each direction.
- 2.9 The Motion report at paragraph 4.4 states that the 85<sup>th</sup>%ile speeds were:-
  - 37.9mph northbound
  - 41.4mph southbound

and suggests that the y-dimension at the proposed access should be  $2.4 \times 60m$  to the south and  $2.4 \times 69m$  to the north.

2.10 This raises two fundamental issues:-

- The ATC speed survey data does not correlate to the 85<sup>th</sup>%ile speeds used by Motion in determining the y-dimensions; and
- As the recorded speeds were in excess of 60kph (37mph) the y-dimensions calculated and used by Motion are incorrect
- 2.11 When considering the ATC data at Appendix B within the Motion report, the correct 85<sup>th</sup>%ile speeds are shown below:-
  - 40.64mph northbound; and
  - 43.2mph southbound
- 2.12 The correct average 85<sup>th</sup>%ile speeds are presented at Appendix 1 of this report. The correct speeds will therefore impact the required visibility splays. These being the y-dimensions and the Forward Stopping Sight Distance.
- 2.13 When considering the lowest values for vehicle speeds i.e. MfS speeds below 37mph (60kph) the commensurate y-dimensions required would be:-
  - 64.6m to the south and 71.2m to the north The SSD would be:-
  - 67m and 73.6m respectively (with the required 2.4m bonnet length added)
- 2.14 However, MfS Volume 2 at Table 10.1 provides the summary of the recommended SSD criteria which advises that for traffic speeds above 60kph (37mph) reaction times and deceleration rates are different from those used in the calculation for traffic speeds less than 60kph (37mph).



- 2.15 As the measured speeds of traffic are greater than 60kph (37mph) in both directions (even when using the speeds Motion has adopted) the correct y-dimension and SSD should be increased.
- 2.16 The corresponding desirable y-distances should be:-
  - 103.6m to the south; and
  - 114.6m to the north
- 2.17 It is evident therefore that the y-dimension and Forward Stopping Sight Distance drawings prepared by Motion are incorrect. The SSD drawing prepared by Motion is also incorrect as the SSD should be measured at a point half the lane width from the edge of carriageway. Such required measurements have not been undertaken by Motion.
- 2.18 Notwithstanding this error even when using the Motion speed measurements, the northbound Stopping Sight Distance cannot be achieved as the bank on the western side of Knowle Lane obstructs forward visibility.
- 2.19 A Plan together with a photograph taken at 60.3m from the proposed access illustrates this critical point, attached at Appendix 2. The plan showing the correct visibility splays are attached at Appendix 3 which illustrates that neither the y-dimension nor SSD can be achieved due to the bank on either side of Knowle Lane obstructing visibility.
- 2.20 It is clear therefore even when using the incorrect MfS visibility figures, provided by Motion, the junction would not provide the correct visibility for the approaching traffic and would therefore be unsafe. Such failure would result in an unsafe access contrary to paragraph 110(b) of the NPPF.
- 2.21 When adopting the corrected visibility splays the junction fails on both counts being the y-dimension and approach visibility.
- 2.22 As such and in accordance with paragraph 111 of the Framework, on this issue alone, the scheme should be refused on highway safety grounds.



### Impact on the Local Highway Network

- 2.23 Motion has undertaken a capacity assessment of the Knowle Lane/High Street Junction, using the industry standard PICADY 9 software.
- 2.24 The analysis, presented at Appendix L of the Motion TA, considered the following scenarios:
  - 2028 Baseline;
  - 2028 with Development; and
  - 2028 with Development (sensitivity).
- 2.25 The 2028 baseline scenario was based on 2022 recorded traffic data which was growthed using TEMPro growth rates.
- 2.26 Traffic generation for the scheme was calculated and applied to the model in two ways. The "2028 with Development" scenario was calculated pro-rata from the 55 unit Berkeley Homes scheme (accessed from Knowle Lane) and the "2028 with Development (sensitivity)" scenario was based on TRICS data.
- 2.27 In Summary the Motion analysis of the Knowle Lane/High Street Junction demonstrates that this junction would operate with spare capacity when traffic from the development is added. (See Section 6 of the Motion TA.) However, this analysis is flawed.
- 2.28 No model validation has been undertaken by Motion, therefore it cannot be determined from the data presented that the analysis represents an accurate baseline position from which to assess the impact of the proposed development in the 2028 design year.
- 2.29 To rectify this, Bellamy Roberts has undertaken an analysis of this junction, using the same geometry as presented in the Motion analysis. To verify the analysis, a queue length survey was undertaken during the morning peak hour period (0800-0900) on 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2023 which recorded instances where between 5 and 6 vehicles were queueing on Knowle Lane at its junction with the High Street.
- 2.30 As such, the 2022 baseline assessment has been validated to reflect the recorded queue lengths by applying a direct stream adjustment to the Knowle Lane arm.



The result of revisiting the PICADY assessment now reflects what occurs on the ground.

- 2.31 The development traffic has then been added to the validated base model to allow a more appropriate and realistic assessment to be undertaken. It should be noted that the pro-rata'd development traffic in the "2028 with Development" scenario has been added in 15 minute intervals, as recorded from the survey, whereas the Motion analysis split this traffic evenly across the assessment period which is unrealistic.
- 2.32 The results of the more accurate analysis, for the morning peak period is provided in Table 1. The full PICADY analysis is at Appendix 4.

AM			
Street/Lane	Queue (vehs)	Delay (sec)	RFC
2022 Recorded	Base Flows		
Knowle Lane	5.2	105.65	0.90
High Street (West)	0.4	11.32	0.27
2028 Growthed	Base Flows		
Knowle Lane	7.0	151.74	0.96
High Street (West)	0.4	11.63	0.28
2028 + Development (Ber	keley Homes Sur	vey)	
Knowle Lane	18.4	366.56	1.14
High Street (West)	0.5	12.60	0.34
2028 + Developn	nent (TRICS)		
Knowle Lane	23.7	447.15	1.20
High Street (West)	0.4	12.14	0.28

Table 1: Summary of Knowle Lane/High Street Analysis

- 2.33 The data presented in Table 1 shows that when the base model is validated against the recorded queue lengths, the RFC of the existing flows (2022) is 0.90 a junction. A junction is considered to be approaching its capacity when the RFC reaches 0.85.
- 2.34 It is evident therefore that currently the junction of Knowle Lane and the High Street has reached its capacity and any material increase in traffic flows would result in the junction being overloaded and increasing delay and queuing would occur.



- 2.35 For example, Knowle Lane would experience a maximum queue in 2028 (without development) of 7 vehicles in the morning peak hour. However, in the same design year with development traffic the queue would extend to 24 vehicles. When the flows are growthed to 2028 with development, the RFC increases to 1.20.
- 2.36 For both design scenarios when development traffic is added, the RFC is over 1.00 indicating that the junction is operating over its capacity. This is acknowledged by Motion (reference paragraph 6.7 of Motion TA).
- 2.37 The RFC analysis reaches 1.20, giving queue length increase to 24 vehicles and the delay increases four-fold, such increases in RFC and delay and queuing is considered severe and the proposal should not be permitted on this basis.



### 3 SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS

- **3.1** The Transport Assessment undertaken by Motion on behalf of the applicant is flawed.
- **3.2** The 85<sup>th</sup>%ile speeds used within the TA are not correct and when analysing the ATC speed data are higher than that used by Motion.
- 3.3 Even when adopting the figures within the Motion assessment, the approach visibility for northbound traffic cannot be achieved due to the bend and the steep bank in Knowle Lane obscuring visibility. On this basis, the scheme fails the requirement of paragraph 110(b) of the Framework as such and in accordance with paragraph 111 should be refused planning permission.
- 3.4 Notwithstanding these findings, the capacity analysis undertaken at the Knowle Lane/High Street junction was not validated and as such the results of the analysis within the Motion report cannot be considered accurate.
- 3.5 The survey at the junction currently shows vehicles queuing on Knowle Lane during the morning peak periods and when validating such queuing within the PICADY programme it identifies that the junction with development would render the junction over capacity resulting in vehicle queues extending from 7 vehicles to 24 vehicles with considerable delays experienced. Such effect would have a severe impact on the network causing extensive delay and frustration to queueing traffic.
- **3.6** Such findings would require the proposal to be refused planning permission as recommended in paragraph 111 of the NPPF.

# **APPENDICES**

# **APPENDIX 1**

85th%ile Speeds Table



WORKS		
Project ID and Name:	IW0085 Cranleigh	
Site No:	1	
Location Name	Knowle Lane	
Direction:	BA (Southbound)	

Direction:		BA (Southbo	und)														85%																	
2330 2345 07-19 06-22 06-00 00-00	2 1 961 1022 1042 1047	2 1 930 949 954	0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 52 58 59 59 59	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 3 3 3 3 3	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 7 8 8 8 8	0 0 16 16 16 16	35.1 32.4 <b>35.6</b> <b>35.7</b> <b>35.7</b> <b>35.7</b>	40.6 40.7 40.8 40.8	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 6 6 6	0 0 22 23 23 23 23	0 0 97 106 106 106	1 1 312 323 331 334	1 0 345 369 374 376	0 0 139 150 152 152	0 0 34 38 42 42 42	0 0 5 6 7 7 7	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 179 195 202 202
2330 2345 07-19 06-22 06-00 00-00	0 0 712 757 761 773	0 0 644 686 690 700	0 0 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 34 36 36 36	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 3 3 3 3	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 4 5 5 5 5	0 0 25 25 25 25 27	35.3 35.4 35.4 35.4 35.4	40.4 40.4 40.4 40.5	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 4 4 4 4	0 0 31 31 31 33	0 0 69 72 72 74	0 0 227 241 243 243	0 0 258 275 277 279	0 92 101 101 104	0 0 21 23 23 25	0 0 8 8 8 8	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 121 132 132 138
2330 2345 07-19 06-22 06-00 00-00	0 1 1105 1193 1198 1204	0 1 997 1078 1083 1089	0 0 8 8 8 8 8	0 0 91 98 98 98	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 4 4 4 4	0 0 1 1 1 1	36.2 35 35.3 35.3 35.3 35.3		0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 7 7 7 7	0 0 16 17 17 18	0 0 134 137 137 138	0 0 372 391 393 394	0 1 429 458 461 464	0 0 119 142 142 142 142	0 0 33 33 33 33	0 0 4 5 5 5 5	0 0 1 2 2 2	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 147 182 182 182 182
2330 2345 07-19 06-22 06-00 00-00	0 2 1151 1253 1268 1280	0 2 1035 1128 1142 1152	0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 101 110 110 112	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 6 7 7 7	39.1 35.5 35.7 35.7 35.7 35.7	40.2 40.4 40.4 40.4	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 8 9 9	0 0 17 18 18 18 18	0 0 121 126 126 126	0 0 339 367 371 372	0 1 481 518 523 530	0 1 154 180 183 185	0 0 27 30 32 34	0 0 3 3 3 3	0 0 1 1 1	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 1 184 215 220 224
2330 2345 07-19 06-22 06-00 00-00	1 0 1122 1208 1232 1247	1 0 1010 1090 1113 1125	0 0 3 3 3 3 3	0 0 101 107 108 111	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 4 4 4 4 4	37.1 35.3 35.5 35.6 35.7	40.5 40.7 40.7 40.8	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 7 7 7 7 7	0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 23 24 24 24 24	0 0 95 97 97 97	0 0 385 406 409 410	1 0 430 459 472 478	0 0 150 170 174 179	0 0 24 36 40 43	0 0 3 4 4 4 4	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 178 211 219 227
2330 2345 07-19 06-22 06-00 00-00	0 0 1185 1298 1316 1329	0 0 1059 1163 1180 1191	0 0 3 3 3 3 3	0 0 115 122 122 122 124	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 2 3 3 3	0 0 3 4 4 4 4	35.9 35.8 35.9 35.9	40.7 40.8 40.8 40.8	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 3 3 3 3	0 0 15 15 15 15 15	0 0 103 116 117 117	0 0 394 442 447 452	0 0 459 489 494 502	0 0 168 184 189 189	0 0 41 44 46 46 46	0 0 1 3 3 3	0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 212 233 240 240
2330 2345 07-19 06-22 06-00 00-00	2 0 1128 1209 1224 1237	2 0 1003 1078 1092 1102	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 100 105 105 108	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 5 5 6 6	0 0 15 16 16 16	40.4 35.6 35.8 35.8 35.9	40.8 41 41 41.2	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 9 9 9 9	0 0 24 25 25 25 25	0 0 103 109 109 109	0 0 355 372 379 383	1 0 420 446 450 455	1 0 179 194 197 198	0 0 32 44 45 47	0 0 3 5 5 5 5	0 0 1 1 2	0 0 1 2 2 2 2	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 215 246 250 254
2330 2345 07-19 06-22 06-00 00-00	2 1 776 861 882 896	2 1 720 798 819 829	0 0 4 4 4 4	0 0 40 46 46 49	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 5 6 6 6	0 0 6 6 6 7	31.3 32.9 37.6 37.6 37.6 37.6 37.6	43.7 43.7 43.8 43.8 43.8	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 7 7 7 8	0 0 4 4 4 4	0 0 4 4 4 4	1 0 57 62 63 65	1 184 210 217 217	0 0 274 304 309 312	0 0 156 169 175 181	0 0 62 68 69 71	0 0 23 28 29 29	0 0 5 5 5 5 5	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 246 270 278 286
2330 2345 07-19 06-22 06-00 00-00	1 0 687 723 725 739	1 0 631 666 668 682	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 39 40 40 40 40	0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 6 6 6	0 0 5 5 5 5 5	40.4 37.1 37.2 37.2 37.2	42.7 42.9 42.9 42.9	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 8 8 8 8	0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 4 4 4 4	0 0 <b>48</b> <b>52</b> <b>52</b> <b>54</b>	0 0 158 165 165 169	0 259 271 271 276	1 0 153 159 161 162	0 0 51 51 53	0 0 5 5 5 5 5	0 0 2 2 2	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 206 219 221 224
2330 2345 07-19 06-22 06-00 00-00	0 0 1024 1124 1135 1142	0 0 914 1009 1020 1027	0 0 5 5 5 5 5 5	0 0 98 102 102 102	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 4 4 4 4 4	0 0 1 2 2 2 2	37.1 37.3 37.3 37.3	42.8 42.9 42.9 42.9	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 4 5 5 5 5	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 15 16 16 16	0 0 78 82 82 83	0 0 248 267 268 271	0 0 356 392 396 397	0 0 245 271 276 277	0 0 64 73 74 74 74	0 0 9 12 12 12 12	0 0 1 2 2 3	0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 321 360 366 368
2330 2345 07-19 06-22 06-00 00-00	1 0 1026 1160 1183 1193	0 0 916 1047 1067 1074	0 0 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 90 93 95 98	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 3 3 3 3 3	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 3 3 3 3 3	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 3 3 3 3 3	1 0 7 7 8 8	15.6 37 37.3 37.3 37.3 37.3	42.8 43.2 43.3 43.3	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 6 6 6 6	0 0 7 7 7 7 7	1 0 4 4 5 5 5	0 0 15 17 17 18	0 0 77 79 79 80	0 0 239 265 270 273	0 0 368 419 427 428	0 0 219 250 257 261	0 0 74 89 91 91	0 0 15 21 21 21 21	0 0 1 2 2 2	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 310 363 372 376



Project ID and Site No:	d Name:	IW0085 Cra 1	nleigh																															
Location Nan Direction:	ne	Knowle Lar BA (Southb	ne iound)																															
																	85%																	
2330 2345	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 39.7	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07-19	1016	906	2	88	2	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	6	37.2	42.8	0	1	8	2	12	62	242	397	210	59	18	4	1	0	0	0	292
06-22	1143	1026	2	95	2	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	6	37.4	43.1	0	1	8	2	12	66	261	448	245	70	24	4	2	0	0	0	345
06-00	1163	1044	2	97	2	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	6	37.4	43.1	0	1	8	2	12	67	265	457	246	73	26	4	2	0	0	0	351
00-00	1169	1048	2	99	2	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	6	37.4	43.1	0	1	8	2	12	68	265	461	247	73	26	4	2	0	0	0	352
2330	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2345	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07-19	1206	1092	2	100	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	37.1	42.0	0	0	7	4	8	84	310	400	207	83	14	5	2	1	0	0	230
06-00	1233	1118	2	100	1	ő	2	ő	0	ő	ő	0	0	4	5	37.2	42.9	0	ő	7	4	8	85	318	466	233	85	18	5	3	1	ů 0	ő	345
00-00	1243	1124	2	105	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	37.2	42.9	0	0	7	4	8	86	320	469	236	85	19	5	3	1	ō	0	349
2330	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	47		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
2345	3	3	0	Ő	õ	õ	ő	ő	õ	õ	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő	õ	39.8	- ă	Ő	ő	Ő	Ő	õ	õ	1	1	õ	1	õ	Ő	õ	õ	õ	õ	1
07-19	1067	957	1	93	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	9	37.3	43	0	3	10	5	10	61	266	385	224	80	15	7	1	0	0	0	327
06-22	1183	1067	1	98	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	9	37.5	43.4	0	3	10	5	11	68	280	427	262	90	19	7	1	0	0	0	379
06-00	1211	1094	1	99	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	9	37.5	43.3	0	3	10	5	12	69	286	441	266	92	19	7	1	0	0	0	385
00-00	1219	1102	1	99	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	9	37.5	43.4	0	3	11	5	12	69	286	443	268	94	20	7	1	0	0	0	390

Average Northbound 85th%ile Speed - 40.6mph

Average Southbound 85th%ile Speed - 43.2mph



Plan & Photograph



Notes		
Notes		
	Bellamy Robe Clover House	rts
	Western Lane Odiham	
	Hampshire, RG29 11 Tel: 01256 703355	TU
	Email: info@bellamy	roberts.co.uk
Knowle Lane	Neighbourhood Gro	oup
PROJECT	elopment Cranleigh	
(Adopting Mo	tion dimensions)	
DRAWN BY MB	DESIGN BY CHK	BY IR
DATE 02/03/23		REV No.
scale 1:500 @ A3	5881 / 001	



Visibility Splays





	Bellamy Roberts Clover House Western Lane Odiham Hampshire, RG29 1TU Tel: 01256 703355 Email: info@bellamyroberts.co.uk
	Knowle Lane Neighbourhood Group
	PROJECT Gleeson Development, Cranleigh
	SSD Desirable
	DRAWN BY DESIGN BY CHK BY
	MB - IR
	06/03/23 5001 / 007
Bellamy Roberts Partners Limited (trading as Bellamy Roberts) is a limited company registered in England. Reg. No.14021497, Quality Assured Firm ISO9001 Certificate Number 14135544, Ordnance Survey Licence Number 100017631	1:500 @ A3 JOO I / UU /

# **APPENDIX 4**

**PICADY ANALYSIS** 

# **Junctions 9**

### **PICADY 9 - Priority Intersection Module**

Version: 9.5.1.7462

© Copyright TRL Limited, 2019

For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: +44 (0)1344 379777 software@trl.co.uk www.trlsoftware.co.uk

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: Knowle Lane - High Street (Validated Motion Model).j9 Path: S:\Admin-Projects\5851-5900\5881\Junction Modelling Report generation date: 23/03/2023 15:55:13

»2022 Recorded Base Flows, AM
»2028 Growthed Base Flows, AM
»2028 + Dev. (Berkeley Homes Survey), AM
»2028 + Dev. (TRICS), AM

### Summary of junction performance

		AM	
	Queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	RFC
	2022 Record	ded Base Flow	s
Stream B-AC	5.2	105.65	0.90
Stream C-AB	0.4	11.32	0.27
	2028 Growth	ned Base Flow	/s
Stream B-AC	7.0	151.74	0.96
Stream C-AB	0.4	11.63	0.28
	2028 + Dev. (Berk	eley Homes S	urvey)
Stream B-AC	18.4	366.56	1.14
Stream C-AB	0.5	12.60	0.34
	2028 + D	ev. (TRICS)	
Stream B-AC	23.7	447.15	1.20
Stream C-AB	0.4	12.14	0.28

There are warnings associated with one or more model runs - see the 'Data Errors and Warnings' tables for each Analysis or Demand Set.

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.

### **File summary**

### File Description

Title	
Location	
Site number	
Date	22/03/2023
Version	
Status	(new file)

Identifier	
Client	
Jobnumber	
Enumerator	BR\matttwinberrow
Description	

### Units

Distance units	Speed units	Traffic units input	Traffic units results	Flow units	Average delay units	Total delay units	Rate of delay units
m	kph	Veh	Veh	perTimeSegment	s	-Min	perMin

### **Analysis Options**

Vehicle	Calculate Queue	Calculate detailed	Calculate residual	RFC	Average Delay	Queue
length (m)	Percentiles	queueing delay	capacity	Threshold	threshold (s)	threshold (PCU)
5.75				0.85	36.00	20.00

### **Demand Set Summary**

ID	Scenario name	Time Perio d name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:m m)	Finish time (HH:m m)	Time perio d lengt h (min)	Time segme nt length (min)	Run automatica Ily	Relationsh ip type	Relationsh ip
D 1	2022 Recorded Base Flows	AM	DIREC T	07:45	09:15	90	15	$\checkmark$		
D 2	2028 Growthed Base Flows	AM	DIREC T	07:45	09:15	90	15	$\checkmark$	Simple	D1*1.0313
D 3	Development Traffic (Berkeley Homes Survey)	AM	DIREC T	07:45	09:15	90	15			
D 4	Development Traffic (TRICS)	AM	DIREC T	07:45	09:15	90	15			
D 5	2028 + Dev. (Berkeley Homes Survey)	AM	DIREC T	07:45	09:15	90	15	$\checkmark$	Simple	D2+D3
D 6	2028 + Dev. (TRICS)	AM	DIREC T	07:45	09:15	90	15	$\checkmark$	Simple	D2+D4

### **Growth Factors**

ID	Descriptio n	Use TEMPR O	NTM Datase t	Bas e year	Futur e year	Time period	Regio n	NTEM Datase t	Count y	Authorit y	Zone	Area type	Roa d type	Growt h Factor
G 1	2022 - 2028 AM Peak	~	NTM AF15 Datase t	2022	2028	Weekda y AM Peak Period	SE	7.2	Surrey	Waverley	Waverle y 013 (5047)	Urba n	Mino r	1.0405
G 2	2022 - 2028 PM Peak	~	NTM AF15 Datase t	2022	2028	Weekda y PM Peak Period	SE	7.2	Surrey	Waverley	Waverle y 013 (5047)	Urba n	Mino r	1.0406

Growth factors are only active if the Demand Set references them in a Relationship.

### Analysis Set Details

ID	Include in report	Network flow scaling factor (%)	Network capacity scaling factor (%)
A1	✓	100.000	100.000

# 2022 Recorded Base Flows, AM

### Data Errors and Warnings

Severity Area Item Description
--------------------------------

Warning	Growth Factors	Growth Factor 1	One or more Growth Factors use TEMPRO data. TRL is not responsible for TEMPRO datasets and cannot guarantee their correctness. If in doubt, please use the TEMPRO software directly.
Warning	Demand Set Relationship	D5 - 2028 + Dev. (Berkeley Homes Survey), AM	Demand Set relationships are chained. This may slow down the file.

### **Junction Network**

### Junctions

Junctio	n Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	Knowle Lane/High Street	T-Junction	Two-way		14.02	В

### **Junction Network Options**

Driving side	Lighting
Left	Normal/unknown

### Arms

### Arms

Arm	Name	Description	Arm type
Α	High Street (east)		Major
В	Knowle Lane		Minor
С	High Street (west)		Major

### **Major Arm Geometry**

Arm	Width of carriageway (m)	Has kerbed central reserve	Has right turn bay	Width for right turn (m)	Visibility for right turn (m)	Blocks?	Blocking queue (PCU)
C - High Street (west)	6.10		✓	2.20	35.0	✓	4.00

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

### Minor Arm Geometry

Arm	Minor arm type	Lane width (m)	Visibility to left (m)	Visibility to right (m)
B - Knowle Lane	One lane	3.00	21	23

### Slope / Intercept / Capacity

#### **Stream Intercept Adjustments**

	stream intercept Adjustments						
Stream intercept adjustment Use adjustment		Reason	Direct intercept adjustment (PCU/TS)				
	B-AC	~	Junction Validation	-27.00			

### **Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts**

Stream	Intercept (Veh/TS)	Slope for A-B	Slope for A-C	Slope for C-A	Slope for C-B
B-A	123.928	0.090	0.227	0.143	0.325
B-C	159.604	0.097	0.246	-	-
C-B	148.558	0.229	0.229	-	-

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments. Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted. Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

### Traffic Demand

### **Demand Set Details**

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time period length (min)	Time segment length (min)	Run automatically
D1	2022 Recorded Base Flows	AM	DIRECT	07:45	09:15	90	15	✓

Vehicle mix varies over turn Vehicle mix varies over entry		Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)	O-D data varies over time	
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	HV Percentages	2.00	1	

### Demand overview (Traffic)

Arm	Linked arm	Profile type	Use O-D data	Scaling Factor (%)
A - High Street (east)		DIRECT	✓	100.000
B - Knowle Lane		DIRECT	√	100.000
C - High Street (west)		DIRECT	√	100.000

## **Origin-Destination Data**

### Demand (Veh/TS)

		То				
07:45 - 08:00			A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)	
	From	A - High Street (east)	0.00	15.00	172.00	
		B - Knowle Lane	10.00	0.00	30.00	
		C - High Street (west)	86.00	26.00	0.00	

### Demand (Veh/TS)

08:00 -08:15

	То							
		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)				
From	A - High Street (east)	0.00	23.00	148.00				
	B - Knowle Lane	18.00	0.00	18.00				
	C - High Street (west)	74.00	16.00	0.00				

### Demand (Veh/TS)

08:15 -08:30

08:30 -08:45

	То							
From		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)				
	A - High Street (east)	0.00	19.00	144.00				
	B - Knowle Lane	27.00	0.00	24.00				
	C - High Street (west)	107.00	23.00	0.00				

### Demand (Veh/TS)

	То					
		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)		
From	A - High Street (east)	0.00	19.00	121.00		
	B - Knowle Lane	30.00	0.00	23.00		
	C - High Street (west)	98.00	26.00	0.00		

### Demand (Veh/TS)

08:45 -09:00

~	•	v		

	То						
		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)			
From	A - High Street (east)	0.00	33.00	158.00			
	B - Knowle Lane	21.00	0.00	19.00			
	C - High Street (west)	121.00	24.00	0.00			

### Demand (Veh/TS)

09:00 -09:15

	То							
		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)				
From	A - High Street (east)	0.00	32.00	129.00				
	B - Knowle Lane	16.00	0.00	19.00				
	C - High Street (west)	93.00	30.00	0.00				

### Vehicle Mix

**Heavy Vehicle Percentages** 

		То			
		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)	
Erom	A - High Street (east)	0	9	2	
FIOII	B - Knowle Lane	0	0	0	
	C - High Street (west)	3	0	0	

# Results

### Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (Veh)	Max LOS	Average Demand (Veh/TS)	Total Junction Arrivals (Veh)
B-AC	0.90	105.65	5.2	F	42.50	255.00
C-AB	0.27	11.32	0.4	В	24.23	145.41
C-A					96.43	578.59
A-B					23.50	141.00
A-C					145.33	872.00

### Main Results for each time segment

07:45 - 08:00

Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	40.00	40.00	67.35	0.594	38.65	0.0	1.3	30.136	D
C-AB	26.08	26.08	104.92	0.249	25.76	0.0	0.3	11.323	В
C-A	85.92	85.92			85.92				
A-B	15.00	15.00			15.00				
A-C	172.00	172.00			172.00				

#### 08:00 - 08:15

Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	36.00	36.00	62.76	0.574	36.00	1.3	1.3	33.632	D
C-AB	16.01	16.01	108.25	0.148	16.16	0.3	0.2	9.788	A
C-A	73.99	73.99			73.99				
A-B	23.00	23.00			23.00				
A-C	148.00	148.00			148.00				

### 08:15 - 08:30

Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	51.00	51.00	56.59	0.901	47.66	1.3	4.7	81.125	F
C-AB	23.04	23.04	110.34	0.209	22.96	0.2	0.3	10.287	В
C-A	106.96	106.96			106.96				
A-B	19.00	19.00			19.00				
A-C	144.00	144.00			144.00				

### 08:30 - 08:45

Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	53.00	53.00	61.04	0.868	52.53	4.7	5.2	94.628	F
C-AB	26.06	26.06	115.77	0.225	26.03	0.3	0.3	10.025	В
C-A	97.94	97.94			97.94				
A-B	19.00	19.00			19.00				
A-C	121.00	121.00			121.00				

#### 08:45 - 09:00

Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	40.00	40.00	49.26	0.812	40.21	5.2	4.9	105.650	F
C-AB	24.08	24.08	103.72	0.232	24.07	0.3	0.3	11.298	В
C-A	120.92	120.92			120.92				
A-B	33.00	33.00			33.00				
A-C	158.00	158.00			158.00				

### 09:00 - 09:15

Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	35.00	35.00	62.07	0.564	38.54	4.9	1.4	42.700	E
C-AB	30.14	30.14	110.90	0.272	30.07	0.3	0.4	11.122	В
C-A	92.86	92.86			92.86				
A-B	32.00	32.00			32.00				
A-C	129.00	129.00			129.00				

# 2028 Growthed Base Flows, AM

### **Data Errors and Warnings**

Severity	Area	ltem	Description
Warning	Growth Factors	Growth Factor 1	One or more Growth Factors use TEMPRO data. TRL is not responsible for TEMPRO datasets and cannot guarantee their correctness. If in doubt, please use the TEMPRO software directly.

Warning	Demand Set Relationship	D5 - 2028 + Dev. (Berkeley Homes Survey), AM	Demand Set relationships are chained. This may slow down the file.
---------	----------------------------	--	--

# **Junction Network**

### Junctions

ſ	Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
ĺ	1	Knowle Lane/High Street	T-Junction	Two-way		19.80	С

### **Junction Network Options**

Driving side Lighting

Left Normal/unknown

## **Traffic Demand**

### **Demand Set Details**

ID	Scenario name	Time Perio d name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm )	Finish time (HH:mm )	Time perio d length (min)	Time segmen t length (min)	Run automaticall y	Relationshi p type	Relationshi p
D 2	2028 Growthed Base Flows	AM	DIREC T	07:45	09:15	90	15	~	Simple	D1*1.0313

Vehicle mix varies over	turn Vehicle mix varies over entry		PCU Factor for a HV	O-D data varies over
turn			(PCU)	time
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	HV Percentages	2.00	✓

### **Demand overview (Traffic)**

Arm	Linked arm	Profile type	Use O-D data	Scaling Factor (%)
A - High Street (east)		DIRECT	✓	100.000
B - Knowle Lane		DIRECT	✓	100.000
C - High Street (west)		DIRECT	✓	100.000

## **Origin-Destination Data**

### Demand (Veh/TS)

07:45 -08:00

		То		
From		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)
From	A - High Street (east)	0.00	15.47	177.38
	B - Knowle Lane	10.31	0.00	30.94
	C - High Street (west)	88.69	26.81	0.00

### Demand (Veh/TS)

08:00 -08:15

		То		
		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)
From	A - High Street (east)	0.00	23.72	152.63
	B - Knowle Lane	18.56	0.00	18.56
	C - High Street (west)	76.32	16.50	0.00

### Demand (Veh/TS)

08:15 -08:30

		То			
		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)	
From	A - High Street (east)	0.00	19.59	148.51	
	B - Knowle Lane	27.85	0.00	24.75	
	C - High Street (west)	110.35	23.72	0.00	

### Demand (Veh/TS)

08:30 -08:45

	То						
		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)			
From	A - High Street (east)	0.00	19.59	124.79			
	B - Knowle Lane	30.94	0.00	23.72			
	C - High Street (west)	101.07	26.81	0.00			

### Demand (Veh/TS)

		То						
08:45 - 09:00			A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)			
	From	A - High Street (east)	0.00	34.03	162.95			
		B - Knowle Lane	21.66	0.00	19.59			
		C - High Street (west)	124.79	24.75	0.00			

### Demand (Veh/TS)

09:00 -09:15

		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)
From	A - High Street (east)	0.00	33.00	133.04
	B - Knowle Lane	16.50	0.00	19.59
	C - High Street (west)	95.91	30.94	0.00

### Vehicle Mix

### Heavy Vehicle Percentages

	То						
		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)			
<b>F</b>	A - High Street (east)	0	9	2			
FIOII	B - Knowle Lane	0	0	0			
	C - High Street (west)	3	0	0			

# Results

### **Results Summary for whole modelled period**

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (Veh)	Max LOS	Average Demand (Veh/TS)	Total Junction Arrivals (Veh)
B-AC	0.96	151.74	7.0	F	43.83	262.98
C-AB	0.28	11.63	0.4	В	25.01	150.06
C-A					99.43	596.61
A-B					24.24	145.41

A-C			149.88	899.29

### Main Results for each time segment

### 07:45 - 08:00

Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	41.25	41.25	65.48	0.630	39.71	0.0	1.5	33.245	D
C-AB	26.92	26.92	103.62	0.260	26.57	0.0	0.3	11.632	В
C-A	88.59	88.59			88.59				
A-B	15.47	15.47			15.47				
A-C	177.38	177.38			177.38				

### 08:00 - 08:15

Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	37.13	37.13	61.16	0.607	37.13	1.5	1.5	37.439	E
C-AB	16.51	16.51	106.99	0.154	16.67	0.3	0.2	9.983	A
C-A	76.31	76.31			76.31				
А-В	23.72	23.72			23.72				
A-C	152.63	152.63			152.63				

#### 08:15 - 08:30

Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	52.60	52.60	54.74	0.961	48.08	1.5	6.1	98.417	F
C-AB	23.77	23.77	109.18	0.218	23.68	0.2	0.3	10.516	В
C-A	110.30	110.30			110.30				
A-B	19.59	19.59			19.59				
A-C	148.51	148.51			148.51				

### 08:30 - 08:45

18:30 - 08:45									
Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	54.66	54.66	59.43	0.920	53.70	6.1	7.0	127.204	F
C-AB	26.89	26.89	114.79	0.234	26.86	0.3	0.3	10.232	В
C-A	101.00	101.00			101.00				
A-B	19.59	19.59			19.59				
A-C	124.79	124.79			124.79				

#### 08:45 - 09:00

Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	41.25	41.25	47.05	0.877	41.25	7.0	7.0	151.736	F
C-AB	24.86	24.86	102.39	0.243	24.84	0.3	0.3	11.603	В
C-A	124.68	124.68			124.68				
A-B	34.03	34.03			34.03				
A-C	162.95	162.95			162.95				

Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	36.10	36.10	60.16	0.600	41.45	7.0	1.7	57.358	F
C-AB	31.11	31.11	109.82	0.283	31.04	0.3	0.4	11.413	В
C-A	95.74	95.74			95.74				
A-B	33.00	33.00			33.00				
A-C	133.04	133.04			133.04				

# 2028 + Dev. (Berkeley Homes Survey), AM

### Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Growth Factors	Growth Factor 1	One or more Growth Factors use TEMPRO data. TRL is not responsible for TEMPRO datasets and cannot guarantee their correctness. If in doubt, please use the TEMPRO software directly.
Warning	Demand Set Relationship	D5 - 2028 + Dev. (Berkeley Homes Survey), AM	Demand Set relationships are chained. This may slow down the file.

### Junction Network

#### Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	Knowle Lane/High Street	T-Junction	Two-way		53.16	F

### **Junction Network Options**

 Driving side
 Lighting

 Left
 Normal/unknown

## **Traffic Demand**

### **Demand Set Details**

ID	Scenario name	Time Perio d name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:m m)	Finish time (HH:m m)	Time perio d lengt h (min)	Time segme nt length (min)	Run automatical ly	Relationshi p type	Relationshi P
D 5	2028 + Dev. (Berkeley Homes Survey)	AM	DIREC T	07:45	09:15	90	15	~	Simple	D2+D3

Vehicle mix varies over	Vehicle mix varies over	Vehicle mix	PCU Factor for a HV	O-D data varies over
turn	entry	source	(PCU)	time
✓	$\checkmark$	HV Percentages	2.00	$\checkmark$

### **Demand overview (Traffic)**

Arm	Linked arm	Profile type	Use O-D data	Scaling Factor (%)
A - High Street (east)		DIRECT	✓	100.000
B - Knowle Lane		DIRECT	~	100.000
C - High Street (west)		DIRECT	✓	100.000

### **Origin-Destination Data**

### Demand (Veh/TS)

07:45 -08:00

	То								
		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)					
From	A - High Street (east)	0.00	16.47	177.38					
	B - Knowle Lane	11.31	0.00	32.94					
	C - High Street (west)	88.69	30.81	0.00					

### Demand (Veh/TS)

08:00 -08:15

	То								
		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)					
From	A - High Street (east)	0.00	26.72	152.63					
	B - Knowle Lane	21.56	0.00	26.56					
	C - High Street (west)	76.32	24.50	0.00					

### Demand (Veh/TS)

		То						
08:15 - 08:30			A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)			
	From	A - High Street (east)	0.00	20.59	148.51			
		B - Knowle Lane	30.85	0.00	32.75			
		C - High Street (west)	110.35	27.72	0.00			

### Demand (Veh/TS)

08:30 -08:45

	То								
From		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)					
	A - High Street (east)	0.00	22.59	124.79					
	B - Knowle Lane	32.94	0.00	29.72					
	C - High Street (west)	101.07	34.81	0.00					

### Demand (Veh/TS)

08:45 -09:00

		То		
From		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)
	A - High Street (east)	0.00	36.03	162.95
	B - Knowle Lane	22.66	0.00	21.59
	C - High Street (west)	124.79	30.75	0.00

### Demand (Veh/TS)

09:00 -09:15

		То										
		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)								
From	A - High Street (east)	0.00	35.00	133.04								
	B - Knowle Lane	20.50	0.00	29.59								
	C - High Street (west)	95.91	36.94	0.00								

# Vehicle Mix

### **Heavy Vehicle Percentages**

	То									
		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)						
<b>F</b>	A - High Street (east)	0	9	2						
FIOII	B - Knowle Lane	0	0	0						
	C - High Street (west)	3	0	0						

# Results

### Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (Veh)	Max LOS	Average Demand (Veh/TS)	Total Junction Arrivals (Veh)
B-AC	1.14	366.56	18.4	F	52.16	312.98
C-AB	0.34	12.60	0.5	В	31.16	186.94
C-A					99.29	595.72
A-B					26.24	157.41
A-C					149.88	899.29

### Main Results for each time segment

### 07:45 - 08:00

Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	44.25	44.25	64.18	0.689	42.32	0.0	1.9	38.438	E
C-AB	31.03	31.03	103.68	0.299	30.61	0.0	0.4	12.249	В
C-A	88.48	88.48			88.48				
A-B	16.47	16.47			16.47				
A-C	177.38	177.38			177.38				

### 08:00 - 08:15

Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	48.13	48.13	61.20	0.786	47.10	1.9	3.0	59.082	F
C-AB	24.55	24.55	106.42	0.231	24.67	0.4	0.3	11.027	В
C-A	76.27	76.27			76.27				
A-B	26.72	26.72			26.72				
A-C	152.63	152.63			152.63				

### 08:15 - 08:30

Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	63.60	63.60	55.79	1.140	53.26	3.0	13.3	168.193	F
C-AB	27.84	27.84	109.15	0.255	27.81	0.3	0.3	11.058	В
C-A	110.23	110.23			110.23				
A-B	20.59	20.59			20.59				
A-C	148.51	148.51			148.51				

#### 08:30 - 08:45

Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	62.66	62.66	59.04	1.061	57.77	13.3	18.2	284.605	F
C-AB	35.09	35.09	114.62	0.306	34.99	0.3	0.4	11.288	В
C-A	100.80	100.80			100.80				
A-B	22.59	22.59			22.59				
A-C	124.79	124.79			124.79				

#### 08:45 - 09:00

Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	44.25	44.25	45.75	0.967	44.01	18.2	18.4	366.555	F
C-AB	31.07	31.07	102.50	0.303	31.07	0.4	0.4	12.600	В
C-A	124.47	124.47			124.47	-			
A-B	36.03	36.03			36.03				
A-C	162.95	162.95			162.95				

#### 09:00 - 09:15

Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	50.10	50.10	60.05	0.834	56.96	18.4	11.6	244.260	F
C-AB	37.37	37.37	109.98	0.340	37.30	0.4	0.5	12.369	В
C-A	95.48	95.48			95.48				
A-B	35.00	35.00			35.00				
A-C	133.04	133.04			133.04				

# 2028 + Dev. (TRICS), AM

### **Data Errors and Warnings**

Severity	Area	ltem	Description
Warning	Growth Factors	Growth Factor 1	One or more Growth Factors use TEMPRO data. TRL is not responsible for TEMPRO datasets and cannot guarantee their correctness. If in doubt, please use the TEMPRO software directly.
Warning	Demand Set Relationship	D5 - 2028 + Dev. (Berkeley Homes Survey), AM	Demand Set relationships are chained. This may slow down the file.

### **Junction Network**

### Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	Knowle Lane/High Street	T-Junction	Two-way		65.13	F

### **Junction Network Options**

Driving side	Lighting
Left	Normal/unknown

## **Traffic Demand**

### **Demand Set Details**

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time period length (min)	Time segment length (min)	Run automatically	Relationship type	Relationship
D6	2028 + Dev. (TRICS)	AM	DIRECT	07:45	09:15	90	15	✓	Simple	D2+D4

Vehicle mix varies over	Vehicle mix varies over	Vehicle mix	PCU Factor for a HV	O-D data varies over
turn	entry	source	(PCU)	time
✓	√	HV Percentages	2.00	✓

### Demand overview (Traffic)

Arm	Linked arm	Profile type	Use O-D data	Scaling Factor (%)
A - High Street (east)		DIRECT	✓	100.000
B - Knowle Lane		DIRECT	~	100.000
C - High Street (west)		DIRECT	~	100.000

# **Origin-Destination Data**

### Demand (Veh/TS)

07:45 - 08:00			A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)
	From	A - High Street (east)	0.00	15.47	177.38
		B - Knowle Lane	10.31	0.00	30.94
		C - High Street (west)	88.69	26.81	0.00

### Demand (Veh/TS)

08:00 -08:15

	То							
		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)				
From	A - High Street (east)	0.00	25.32	152.63				
	B - Knowle Lane	22.96	0.00	30.46				
	C - High Street (west)	76.32	21.20	0.00				

### Demand (Veh/TS)

08:15 -08:30

08:30 -08:45

	То							
		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)				
From	A - High Street (east)	0.00	20.39	148.51				
	B - Knowle Lane	32.25	0.00	36.65				
	C - High Street (west)	110.35	25.82	0.00				

### Demand (Veh/TS)

	То						
		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)			
From	A - High Street (east)	0.00	21.19	124.79			
ĺ	B - Knowle Lane	34.14	0.00	32.42			
	C - High Street (west)	101.07	31.51	0.00			

### Demand (Veh/TS)

08:45 -09:00

-	v	v		

	То								
		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)					
From	A - High Street (east)	0.00	35.23	162.95					
	B - Knowle Lane	22.86	0.00	22.89					
	C - High Street (west)	124.79	28.05	0.00					

### Demand (Veh/TS)

09:00 -09:15

	То										
		A - High Street (east)	B - Knowle Lane	C - High Street (west)							
From	A - High Street (east)	0.00	33.00	133.04							
	B - Knowle Lane	16.50	0.00	19.59							
	C - High Street (west)	95.91	30.94	0.00							

### Vehicle Mix

**Heavy Vehicle Percentages** 

	То								
From		A - High Street (east) B - Knowle Lane		C - High Street (west)					
	A - High Street (east)	0	9	2					
	B - Knowle Lane	0	0	0					
	C - High Street (west)	3	0	0					

### Results

### **Results Summary for whole modelled period**

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (Veh)	Max LOS	Average Demand (Veh/TS)	Total Junction Arrivals (Veh)
B-AC	1.20	447.15	23.7	F	52.00	311.98
C-AB	0.28	12.14	0.4	В	27.51	165.09
C-A					99.40	596.37
A-B					25.10	150.61
A-C					149.88	899.29

### Main Results for each time segment

07:45 - 08:00 Junction Unsignalised Total Start Capacity (Veh/TS) Throughput End queue RFC Stream Demand Arrivals queue Delay (s) level of (Veh/TS) (Veh) (Veh/TS) (Veh) . (Veh) service B-AC 41.25 41.25 65.48 0.630 39.71 0.0 1.5 33.245 103.62 C-AB 26.92 26.92 0.260 26.57 0.0 0.3 11.632 В C-A 88.59 88.59 88.59 А-В 15.47 15.47 15.47 A-C 177.38 177.38 177.38

### 08:00 - 08:15

Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	53.43	53.43	62.99	0.848	51.14	1.5	3.8	65.761	F
C-AB	21.22	21.22	106.67	0.199	21.32	0.3	0.3	10.558	В
C-A	76.29	76.29			76.29				
A-B	25.32	25.32			25.32				
A-C	152.63	152.63			152.63				

### 08:15 - 08:30

Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	68.90	68.90	57.28	1.203	55.55	3.8	17.2	199.771	F
C-AB	25.90	25.90	109.09	0.237	25.85	0.3	0.3	10.803	В
C-A	110.27	110.27			110.27				
A-B	20.39	20.39			20.39				
A-C	148.51	148.51			148.51				

### 08:30 - 08:45

Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	66.56	66.56	60.90	1.093	60.10	17.2	23.6	350.886	F
C-AB	31.68	31.68	114.67	0.276	31.61	0.3	0.4	10.826	В
C-A	100.90	100.90			100.90				
A-B	21.19	21.19			21.19				
A-C	124.79	124.79			124.79				

#### 08:45 - 09:00

Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	45.75	45.75	47.28	0.968	45.68	23.6	23.7	447.151	F
C-AB	28.25	28.25	102.38	0.276	28.25	0.4	0.4	12.140	В
C-A	124.59	124.59			124.59				
A-B	35.23	35.23			35.23				
A-C	162.95	162.95			162.95				

### 09:00 - 09:15

Stream	Total Demand (Veh/TS)	Junction Arrivals (Veh)	Capacity (Veh/TS)	RFC	Throughput (Veh/TS)	Start queue (Veh)	End queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	36.10	36.10	59.84	0.603	57.26	23.7	2.5	223.319	F
C-AB	31.11	31.11	109.83	0.283	31.10	0.4	0.4	11.431	В
C-A	95.74	95.74			95.74				
A-B	33.00	33.00			33.00				
A-C	133.04	133.04			133.04				





Reg No 14021497. Registered Office: Clover House, Western Lane, Odiham, Hampshire RG29 1TU

#### Director: IT Roberts MCIHT Associate Director: MJ Twinberrow BEng MCIHT Consultant: GD Bellamy BSc CEng MICE

Bellamy Roberts Partners Ltd. (trading as Bellamy Roberts) is a Limited Company registered in England.